

Thus night, 7 Oct 87

Sy: Is the Bodin quote still in?

If not: am I simply the source of the
tel cons (which are in U I have)

and perhaps the ~~message~~ ult —

as if: not the cables,
interviews had just become known

or, just available to the Post

(not from me)

102-880

7 dies { and the Post (by) ^{saw} ~~that~~ the significance
or their signif. was known to
the intell analysts

which leaves unasked and unanswered, How
come no one outside intell com has known
of this for 23 years — including Exon makes
who stayed in the govt? (McN, McG B, RFK...

[Because I was the only person who knew all 3 pieces:
till I told Sy!]

Of course, I wanted this story out, preferably
this Oct — by me: M

I didn't know I forced choice of
— having it out, by me and confirmed, but
not by or about me

— not having it out in NYT/Post

The contrast between the (? hypothesized for me,
"paranoiac"?) version, and Sy's draft of last night,
is just too painful. [Probably, Sy will put

cf. Gantloff
last week!

If ~~by~~ ~~the~~ let Post feel me: explanation: Post doesn't see
himself of having it on me, need for it, desirability ("Wf Ellsberg again?
— and he doesn't want to argue with his new employer, esp. to deal with
question, "Do you care him? Did you promise him? Are you his PR man?"
by angle back in, when I ask, tomorrow
morning.

I went through this this morning, when I
thought he ought insist on having in my
negotiation by Harvard —

(as when I thought in Ash Post could be
funious with me for being late coming off the
mountain; like the "jack story" — I worked
up a rage at ... her having walked on ahead,
left me alone, in case I had got hurt ... I got thirsty ...
abandoned...)

(I anticipated his betrayal by Sy — having to
terminate our friendship — couldn't trust him any more,
being dependent on Sy — his usual, trusted
controversial/inconsiderate)

His final words, as cutting out reference to my reaction
Turkish mirrors his defense (crying, feeling JFK
had blown it): "you tell things to a journalist,
then you're unhappy ~~when~~ he uses them."

I was thinking this morning — "Do I really
have to watch my words now, on personal matters?"
"This is off the record..."

On Harvard: I said to him: "Sy, if I wrote a op ed piece
and said, "To show how the story has been distorted
and suppressed, Sy's piece was out in the NYT
this and Max Finkelstein, so he had to go..."
"It's out."

[If story had first been presented to me this way —
by some else — I might have accepted it — to
get it out ...

even though David had said,
"This will sell a
bunch to a ~~few~~ / at his divorce
NYT?"
→ so then a reason David is seeing him for \$75K; and his wife "hate him"

PP/DE

6 Feb 89

(Approx 20th anniversary
of beginning to copy!)

MBC on Brigadier Marshall to

Blair: REN: "My feeling of
anger, rage, at D/S was so great, I had to
ask myself why. The main reason that I now
say is that he destroyed the career of
(one of?) my best friend(s), BSR."

[I didn't present myself or try to explain myself —
or offer myself to this challenge and rage —
to any of my old friends, because

1) I wanted to give them the option of
maintaining max distance from me.

2) Not put pressure on them, at all, to support me.

3) Not encourage them to support me — in ignorance
of fact (which I couldn't tell them) that
I wasn't done with manipulation (NSSM-1, mcs)
or resistance. (War wasn't ending!)

4) After trial, WG: + war

5) After war: mcs;
and ?

(Meanwhile, the deal made no gesture;
some had committed perjury;
the deal hadn't helped on war;

no "sin" of AN

1. RFR Ult been my interview

(us. lchls, lch, Allier, etc.)

RFR main -

(Hendoff confis me).

2. Finfight

Interrupts? ("Now that it's out")?
(Hendoff confis) (confined)

3.

(MHH
check)